## Rhode Island 2004 EQIP Applicant Evaluation Worksheet

Applicant's Name:	Type of Operation:	
Operator:	Farm #	
Address:	Tract #	
Town:	Watershed No:	
ZIP Code:	Date:	
Phone:	Total Score: #VAL	_UE!
I. Resource Concerns: Applicants Score		= 0.00
дрисанся эсоге		_ 0.00
II. Environmental Benefits:		
Applicants Score		
III. Project Enhancements:		
Applicants Score		0.00
TV Estimated Business Fin	annial Anniatanan Contr	
IV. Estimated Program Fine Estimated Total Project Cost	ancial Assistance Cost:	\$0
Non-USDA Funds, Non Producer Cos	ets	\$0
Producer Costs		\$0
Total Program Financial Assistan	ice Cost	\$0
IV. Other Concerns or Adjus	stments:	
Severity of problem to be addressed: Ranki or observations. Add 500 points if problem	ng team will use professional judgement based on measured data is severe, 250 if moderate.	
Past History of Applicant: Subtract 30% for previous plan; 10% for failure to properly m	r failure to complete a previous plan; 20% for partial completion of naintain practices.	
Adjustments	·	0
V. Adjusted Score: (Applican	nt's Score plus Adjustments)	
Applicant's Adjusted Score	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	#\/ALLIEI

Non-Discrimination Statement: The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964 (voice or TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

**Privacy Act Statement:** The following statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1074 (5 U.S.C. 522a). The authorities for requesting the information to be supplied on this form are: 16 U.S.C. 590a-f (Soil and Water Conservation); 16 U.S.C. 3801 et seq. (Food Security Act of 1985, as amended), and the regulations promulgated thereunder. The information requested is necessary for the evaluation of an application development and implementation of a conservation plan as the basis for satisfying program eligibility and compliance requirements, and for providing technical, educational, or financial assistance under the previously mentioned authorities. Furnishing this information is voluntary; however, failure to furnish correct, complete information will result in the withholding or withdrawal of such technical, educational, or financial assistance. This information may be furnished to other USDA agencies, the Internal Revenue Service, the Department of Justice, or other State or Federal law enforcement agencies, or in response to orders of a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal.

**Public Burden Statement:** According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1985, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information is 0560-0174. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 20 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

## **Environmental Benefit Calculator** Bench-**RESOURCE** Desired Location Priority ENVIR. Aspect / mark **CONCERNS** Problem Condition **BENEFIT** Outcome **Factor** Factor **Water Quality** 1.3 **Water Quantity** 1.2 **Soil Erosion** 1.2 **Animal Quality** 1.2 **Soil Quality** 1.1 **Air Quality** 1 **Plant Quality** 1 **Environmental** (Resource Concern x Environmental Gain x Location Factor) Benefit Score = **Project Enhancements Calculator** Regulatory 3 Compliance Ag. Land 3 Protection Conservation 3 **Systems** Innovation 3 Leveraged 2 **Funds** Conservation System 2 Durability Ag. Production 1 **Local Priority** 1 **Project Enhancement Score**

INSTRUCTIONS: Assessing the proposed project as a whole, select the best suited ranking elements in the yellow

						0				
VALUES										
CRITERIA	5	3	1	0	Priority Factor	POINTS				
RESOURCE CONCERNS (*National and State Priorities)										
Water Quality	*Harmful Levels or Excess Pesticides, Nutrients or Pathogens	*Sediment Contaminants from off- farm sources, petroleum storage, stream temps		1.3						
Water Quantity	Insuffficient Flow to sustain desired ecological condition	low to ired *Innefficient Use Excess Amount		N/A	1.2					
Soil Erosion	*Sheet & Rill	Ephemeral Gully, Streambank or Road	Classic Gully	N/A	1.2					
Animal Quality	*Threatened & Endangered Species	Fish & Wildlife- Inadequate Food/Cover/Water	Domestic Animals- Inadequate Feed/Forage/Water/He althy Living Condition	N/A	1.2					
Soil Quality	Organic Matter Depletion	Compaction	Excess soil nutrients	N/A	1.1					
Air Quality	Chemical Drift	*Particulates, Ozone, Greenhouse Gases	Objectionable Odors, Noise from Pumps	N/A	1					
Plant Quality	*Threatened & Endangered Species	Noxious and Invasive	Productivity, Health and Vigor	N/A	1					
RESOURCE (*National priorities (orange highlight) receive double values)										
ENVIRONMENTAL	. BENEFIT									
*Environmental Benefit Score	Resou	rce Concern x Enviror	nmental Gain x Locati	on Factor						
PROJECT ENHANC	CEMENTS									
Regulatory Compliance	*Voluntary CNMP	Voluntarily addresses other known pollutant issue.	*Other Regulatory Issue or TMDL N/A		x 3					
Ag. Land Protection	Permanently Protected	Conditionally Protected through FFOS, GRP, CRP		N/A	x 3					
Conservation Systems	Completes a new, revised or existing whole-farm plan to RMS level.	Completes a CMU or field RMS		Completes a practice (Not a RMS)	x 3					
Innovation	*Innovative	Adaptive	Adoptive	N/A	x 3					
Leveraged Funds	*≥30% of total cost	*15-30% of total cost	*1-15% of total cost	N/A	x 2					
Conservation System Durability	*≥ 20 yrs.*	*15 yrs.	5-10 yrs.	Annual	x 2					
Ag. Production	Organic/Sustainable Agriculture	Food Quality	Increase Yields / Minimize Loss	N/A	x 1					
Local Priority	1st Resource Concern 2nd Resource Concern 3rd Resource Concern N/A		x 1							
RESOURCE CONCERNS	*(National priorities (orange highlight) receive double values. Voluntary CNMP = 20 points.									
			TOTA	L SCORE		0.00				

				LOOK-U	JP	TABLES								
CRITERIA	VALUE		CRITERIA	VALUE	sp	CRITERIA	VALUE	Ϊ	CRITERIA	VALUE	_≥	CRITERIA	VALUE	
a. Public drinking water, or groundwater aquifer/recharge area, or community wellhead.	7		a. ≥30% of total c		Leveraged Funds	a. Harmful/Excess Levels of Pesticides- Nutrients or Pathogens	10	Aspect Water	CRITERIA a. Organic Matter Depletion	5	Aspect Soil quali	aquifer/rechar ge area, or community	7	
b. Prime shellfish beds impacted by agriculture.	6		b. 15-30% of total cost	6		b. Sediment	6		b. Compaction	3		d. Priority Freshwater Fish and Wildlife Habitat	4	
c. 303d list of waters	5	Water Qua	c. 1-15% of total c	2		c. Contaminants from off-farm sources, petroleum storage, stream temps	1		c. Excess soil nutrients	1		f. Other Surface Water/Private Well	2	Location Water Quantity
d. Priority Freshwater Fish and Wildlife Habitat	4	Loca	d. N/A	0		d. N/A	0		d. N/A	0		N/A	1	Location W
e. Coastal Salt Ponds	3													
f. Other Surface Water/Private Well	2		a. Completes a whole-farm plan	5	n Systems	a. Insuffeicient Flow to sustain desired ecological condition	5	r Quantity	a. Chemical Drift	5	ir Ouality	a. Soil is Prime Farmland	7	
g. N/A	1		b. Completes a CMU or Field RMS	3	Conservation	b. Inefficient Use	6	Aspect Water	b. Particulates, Ozone, Greenhouse Gases	6	Aspect A	b. Soil is of Statewide Importance	5	on and Soil
			c. Single practice (not a RMS)	0	0	c. Excess Amount	1	A	c. Objectionable Odors, Noise from Pumps	1		c. Land is Permanently Protected, but not prime or important soil	3	Location Soil Erosion and Soil Quality
						d. N/A	0		d. N/A	0		d. N/A	1	Loc
<u>a. &gt;</u> 20 yrs	10	iity	a. Permanently Protected	5	ction									
b. 15 yrs	6	Dur		3	Land Protection	a. Sheet and Rill	10	il Erosion	a. Threatened & Endangered Species	10	Oualit	N/A	1	Location Animal and Plant Quality
c. 5-10 yrs d. Annual	0	s. Sy		0	Aq. L	b. Ephemeral Gully, Streambank or Road c. Classic Gully	1	Aspect Soil	b. Noxious and Invasive c. Productivity,	3	spect Plant			nal and P
u. Alliluai	U	රි				c. classic dully	1		Health and Vigor	1	Asr			Anir
			a. Organic or Sustainable Agriculture	5	Production	d. N/A	0		d. N/A	0				Location
a. Voluntary CNMP (Plan Only)*	20	iance	b. Food Quality	3	Aq. Pro									
b. Voluntarily addresses other known pollutant	3	Compl		1	Ą									
issue. c. Other Regulatory Issue or TMDL	2	Regulatory	d. N/A	0		a. Threatened & Endangered Species	10	nal Quality				a. Public area contiguous to cropland, buffer in	4	
N/A	0					b. Fish & Wildlife- Inadequate Food/Cover/Water	3	Aspect Animal Quality				b. Non-farm residences contiguous, buffer	3	
						c. Domestic Animals- Inadequate Feed/Forage/Water	1					inadequate c. N/A	1	Location Air Quality
a. Innovative	10	vatic	1st Resource Concern E, N, S Water Quality	5	Priority	d. N/A	0							Location
b. Adaptive	3		2nd Resource Concern: E Invasives, N Soil Erosion, S Water Quantity	3	Local									
c. Adoptive	1		3rd Resource Concern: E Farm Viability, N Flood Control, S Food Security N/A	0										